## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL**

Franc	hico	Tav	Da	ard
Franc	:nise	ıax	BO	ara

Author: Machado	Analyst: Marion Mann DeJong Bill Number: AB 765			
See Legislative	Analyst. Marin Decong Dill Number. Ab 703			
Related Bills: History	Telephone: <u>845-6979</u> Amended Date: <u>05/11/1999</u>			
	Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor:			
SUBJECT: Manufacturers' Invest	ment Credit/Extend To Mineral Extraction Activities			
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended				
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.				
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended				
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.				
X DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO Neutral.				
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED February 24, 1999, STILL APPLIES.				
X OTHER - See comments below.				
SUMMARY OF BILL				
Under the Bank and Corporation Tax Law (B&CTL), this bill would expand the Manufacturers' Investment Credit (MIC) to include specified activities related to extracting nonmetallic minerals described in Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Codes 1411 to 1499.				
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT				
The May 11, 1999, amendments clarified that only those taxpayers described in SIC Codes 1411 to 1499, inclusive, would qualify for the MIC for property used for extracting. These amendments prevent the expansion of activities qualifying for the MIC under current law.				
The May 11, 1999, amendments also made cross-reference changes to accommodate revisions that were inadvertently omitted in AB 2798 (Stats. 1998, Ch. 323) and changes made by this bill. AB 2798 extended the MIC to manufacturers of custom or prepackaged computer software partly by adding computers and computer peripheral equipment to the definition of "qualified property." However, AB 2798 failed to modify two references to "qualified property" contained in another subdivision.				
analysis of the bill as introduced considerations and the Board Poapplies. The Implementation Cobill as introduced is reiterate	chnical Considerations raised in the department's uced February 24, 1999. Except for the Technical esition, the department's prior analysis still ensideration from the department's analysis of the ed below. In addition, the Board Position is action taken by the Franchise Tax Board at its			
Board Position:	Department/Legislative Director Date			
S NA	NP			
SA O OUA	NAR PENDING Johnnie Lou Rosas 5/24/1999			

Assembly Bill 765 (Machado) Amended May 11, 1999 Page 2

## IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

This bill does not make a corresponding change to the MIC under the Personal Income Tax Law (PITL), which may cause taxpayer confusion. Taxpayers that are shareholders of an S corporation may be especially confused since the credit could not flow from the S corporation to the shareholder. This could lead to disputes between taxpayers and the department.

## BOARD POSITION

Neutral.

At its March 23, 1999, meeting, the Franchise Tax Board voted 2-0 to take a neutral position on this bill as introduced.